A short-term, targeted intervention designed to accelerate progress in reading and writing and
*Close the Literacy Gap*. Based on high quality pedagogy, specially trained Learning Assistants
deliver one-to-one coaching sessions, four times a week, for a period of 8 weeks. It uses a book-
based approach, with opportunities to read and write in every lesson.

During this 2016-2017 session, 40 Primary 2 learners across have been supported by this
intervention in 8 Nithsdale schools. They made an average Reading Age gain of 14 months in only
2 months, eight times the average rate of progress.

Parents were highly committed to supporting the programme, and enjoyed sharing their children’s
success. All pupils, parents and Learning Assistants were motivated by rapid progress and success.

**The Background**

In August 2016, Nithsdale’s Additional Support for Learning Team was awarded *Innovation
Funding* by Education Scotland to develop an intervention programme that had been successfully
closing, or narrowing, the literacy gap for many young learners at St Ninian’s Primary School for a
number of years.

The approach is based upon pedagogy promoted by the Strathclyde University Chartered Teaching
*Closing the Literacy Gap* module, but has been adapted to reflect the most recent research and
pedagogy advice from Education Scotland. Its updated design also means that its delivery can now
be supported by Learning Assistants.

The funding supported the enhancement of Intervention resources so that it could be more easily
shared with other schools. It also facilitated the collection of data required to provide a robust
evidence base, demonstrating its potential impact on children’s progress in literacy and
achievement.

**Scottish Attainment Challenge and the Innovation Fund**

In our shared ambition to achieve excellence and equity for every child, this particular Innovation
Funding Bid successfully met a number of core criteria, all promoted by *National Improvement
Framework* Key Priorities and the *Scottish Attainment Challenge*.

- A clear focus on raising attainment of learners who experience economic disadvantage.
- A focus on targeted improvement in literacy,
- The development of evidenced based approaches to raising the attainment.
- A clear plan to measure outcomes.
- Parental Engagement

In addition to NIF priorities and key drivers, the intervention design includes 6 of the 12
approaches recommended within the recent *Interventions for Equity* framework.
Project Aims

- To demonstrate how effectively the intervention accelerates progress in reading and writing, narrowing or closing the literacy gap for under-achieving Primary 2 pupils who experience socio-economic disadvantage.
- To ‘package’ what was working so well in one particular school.
- To develop a Professional Development Programme so that Additional Support for Learning Teachers and Learning Assistants in other schools could support the coaching of these reading and writing skills with improved knowledge and expertise.

Impact on Children’s Progress and Attainment in Literacy

During the 2016/17 Nithsdale Closing the Literacy Gap Project, 40 Primary 2 children were supported by this intervention, across 8 different schools. Impact was carefully measured by both formative and standardised assessments.

- Learners made remarkable gains in their Reading Age, averaging 14 months in only 8 weeks. Learners in the Control Group made an average gain of 2 months in Reading Age.
- Average Standardised Scores in reading showed an improvement of 13 points. Learners in the Control Group made an average gain of 0.5 points.
Enriching Professional Knowledge and Practice

- Two Intervention Trainers are now available to support future developments in schools across the Authority.
- 6 Additional Support for Learning Teachers have been trained to strategically manage this literacy intervention, across 8 schools. As these teachers work in several schools, they are keen to cascade this training to support staff deliver it more widely.
- One Senior Learning Assistant and 23 Learning Assistants have developed the skills required to support its delivery, across 8 schools in Nithsdale.
- One Depute Head Teacher, who undertook full intervention training, is beginning to look at ways to adopt the pedagogy within mainstream teaching of literacy.

Wider Impact

- All 40 learners, their parents and their Learning Assistant Tutors have been motivated and excited by rapid progress and success.
- Noticeable improvement in pupil perceptions, mind-sets and personal engagement.
- Improved learner confidence, behaviour and engagement in the curriculum.
- Whilst the project focus group were Primary 2 learners who experience socio-economic disadvantage, schools are already widening the net, and a further 23 children with additional support needs are already benefitting from the intervention.
- Every school is keen to continue next session, targeting pupils with Pupil Equity Funding.

Parents as Active Partners in their Child’s Learning

A high level of parental engagement is encouraged through initial meetings, homework tasks, home/school diaries, invitations to take part in a lesson, and progress feedback meetings.

- 80% of parents fully engaged with the homework tasks for the full 8 weeks and proudly reported how much they enjoyed sharing in their children’s success.
- 10% of the parents showed a slight waning of interest after week 6.
- Only 4% of parents did not engage at all, and although their children still made very good progress, gains tended to be around 30% less than children from fully engaged families.

Parental Feedback: Favourite things about the project?

“Being more involved with L’s Learning and seeing how far he has come.”
“Being able to work closely with the school and see K’s progress.”
“Interacting more with my daughter and seeing how well she has achieved her goals every day.”
What is ‘Closing the Literacy Gap’ Intervention?

- Is based on well-researched and evidence-based Closing the Attainment Gap research and pedagogy.
- Guided by a Learning Framework that promotes a balanced, interconnected approach to improving the tools learners require to read and write fluently.
- An intensive one-to-one, personalised literacy intervention that enables learners to make rapid progress in reading and writing.
- It uses a book-based approach, with opportunities to read and write in every lesson.
- Implements a range of teaching strategies that promote a coaching approach to the teaching of reading and writing.
- High quality training is offered so that Learning Assistants can support reading and writing effectively.
- Provides detailed, measurable evidence of progress and impact.

How Does it Work?

- The School Management Team, Class Teacher and ASL Teachers identify Learners who meet the criteria for Equity Funding and are likely to benefit from Closing the Literacy Gap intervention.
- Standardised data is collected to evidence impact at the end the intervention.
- The ASL Teacher administers a range of diagnostic assessments which inform starting points on the programme.
- The Intervention Trainer models and supports the Learning Assistants as they acquire the coaching skills required to deliver the intervention.
- Learning Assistants provide a 6—10 week block of four 45 minute one-to-one sessions per week, designed to accelerate progress.
- Each session offers responsive, personalised coaching within a supportive framework.
- Each learning session is also supported by a parent each night.
- The ASL Teacher continually monitors progress and adjusts the lesson structure and pace to maximise outcomes.

Who would benefit most?

- Any Primary aged learner who is achieving below expectation in reading for his/her age-group, and has not yet attained a reading age of 8 years.
- Learners with a starting Standardised Score of above 85 make most progress.
- Some older learners may benefit, but only after consultation with the Lead Co-ordinator.
Lesson Focus and Structure

Every lesson typically incorporates the following interconnected strands, each facilitating increasingly complex reading and writing skills.

- Observation of unsupported reading (Running Record).
- Decisions in relation to next steps in learning (informed by the above observation).
- Coaching of new words or reading strategies.
- Phonics Practice — decoding and encoding.
- Speed Word Practice (promoting morphemic strategies).
- New Reading (promoting a widening range of reading strategies with a slightly more difficult text).
- Tricky Word Spelling Practice.
- Constructing and Writing a Sentence.

Success Factors

- Balanced teaching approach that promotes interconnected reading and writing skills.
- A carefully graded, sequential Learning Framework ... where success is measured in small steps and is easily achieved!
- Ongoing assessments that inform next steps in learning and teaching.
- Recognition that every child has a different learning style and pace.
- Working within each child’s own level of ‘easy difficulty’ (ZPD).
- Coaching that is responsive to need, and nudges learning forwards, step-by-step.
- Parents feel involved and share their children’s success.
- Promotion of metacognitive and self-regulation strategies that ensure learners are more likely to continue to use their newly acquired skills independently beyond the intervention period.
- High Expectations, and .... and a determination to make a difference!
Discussion

This intervention programme is appropriate for any child who is struggling to read, but evidence suggests that Primary 2 is the optimum period for intervention: any later, and the effects on the child’s self-confidence and attitudes to learning of not being able to read make remediation increasingly difficult. Intervening at age six to address literacy difficulties is also more cost-effective than later intervention. The gap is less wide and less time is needed to bring children up to average attainment. Evidence from ‘Every Child A Reader’ has also shown that attainment is more likely to be sustained if the ‘gap’ is closed that this age.

In the early years, we endeavour to give our children this wide range of ‘tools’ required for fluent reading and writing. Some children acquire sound and word knowledge with ease, make connections between what they hear and what they see, and then use a range of strategies to help them read and write with increasing confidence. Others struggle to make connections between each of the strands you see above, and make little progress. Recent research has suggested that the development of phonics is very important, “but in practice, a mixed approach is probably beneficial for most pupils, targeted according to need.” (Steve Higgins).

This particular approach makes real links for learners between different aspects of reading and writing. The teaching resources and books are carefully structured to help children make connections......and our Learning Assistants are trained to ‘prompt’ the children to make these connections independently. As a result, neurologically, the cortical networks created are much more secure and more likely to be sustained over a period of time ......learning is rarely ‘lost’ over a holiday, and more likely to be sustained over a longer period of time.

Teachers continue to tell us about the sustained progress made by children who have had Closing the Gap intervention. As yet, evidence is anecdotal e.g. A P4 teacher who said she could spot the children who had benefited from Closing the Literacy Gap in P2 because of the wide range of reading strategies they used and could talk about. In other instances, teachers are seen to be genuinely surprised to learn that a particular child had been struggling in P2. It is our intention to try and monitor the progress of our targeted children over the next few years and gather an evidence base to support the sustainability of learning gains. Schools have already started to monitor continuing progress and some have started to provide short in-class booster sessions where data show that a child’s progress is slowing e.g. paired reading, focus group for a few weeks, catching up with parents.

When planning how to spend their PEF, schools are being encouraged to adopt evidence-based interventions with reliable evidence of effectiveness and avoid programmes that are ‘unproven’, The evidence base for Closing the Literacy Gap is as yet quite narrow, but it does replicate some common elements and approaches of widely proven interventions (e.g. Reading Recovery, FFT Wave 3, Reading Success, better Readers).
Seeking academically effective as well as cost-effective interventions for PEF is a challenge for Head Teachers, and they frequently draw breath when considering the cost implications of 45 minute, one-to-one lessons! However, the evidence base from this research project has initiated many interesting conversations in relation to the effectiveness of some of the current Additional Support ‘group’ sessions. Many of the children in our ‘Control Groups’ had been receiving additional support within a group, but only one in every four of these learners was actually making any significant gains. The average gain for children in these groups was 1.5 months.

“Small group tutorials can be effective, but are not as effective as one-to-one instruction by teachers or teaching assistants.”

What works best for Struggling Readers, York University 2009

**Next Steps & Challenges**

Although the research project has only been evolving over the past ten months, the impact and positive influence on teaching and learning, and subsequently children’s outcomes, is already exceptionally positive. Indicators to date would suggest that the impact of this intervention on delivering Excellence and Equality will continue to be significant. The rich professional learning experience for Additional Support Teachers and Learning Assistants has been well-received and has equipped them with a range of evidence-based strategies to support the accelerated development of reading and writing skills. In addition, the intervention programme also provides detailed, measurable evidence of progress and impact.
APPENDIX 1:
PUPIL PROGRESS GRAPHS

Pupil Progress is usually monitored through change in Standardised Scores or in Reading Ages.
Outcome Data was represented, school by school, in both ways.
CLOSING THE LITERACY GAP at ST NINIAN’S PRIMARY PHASE 1   Sept-Dec 2016

St Ninian’s Primary Pupil Progress in Reading by Standardised Score (InCAS)

- Average Gain: +10.8 points
- Lowest Gain: +5 points
- Highest Gain: +17 points

St Ninian’s Primary Pupil Progress in Reading Assessed Reading Age against Actual Age (InCAS)

- Average Gain: +15.6 months
- Lowest Gain: + 10 months
- Highest Gain: + 24 months

Elaine Callander, ASL Nithsdale Team, June 2017
CLOSING THE LITERACY GAP at LINCLUDEN PRIMARY PHASE 1: Jan – March 2017

Lincluden School Pupil Progress in Reading by Standardised Score

- **Average Gain:** +13 points
- **Lowest Gain:** +8 points
- **Highest Gain:** +17 points

Lincluden School Pupil Progress in Reading by Assessed Age against Actual Age

- **Average Gain:** +14 months
- **Lowest Gain:** > +6 months
- **Highest Gain:** +19 months

Control Group Progress in Reading by Assessed Age against Actual Age

- **CONTROL GROUP**
- **Average Gain:** +1 month

Elaine Callander, ASL Nithsdale Team, June 2017
**CLOSING THE LITERACY GAP at ST NINIAN’S PRIMARY PHASE 2: Jan – March 2017**

**St Ninian’s PHASE 2: Pupil Progress in Reading by Standardised Score**

- **Week 1**
- **Week 8**
- **National Average**

**Average Gain:** +10.8 points
- **Lowest Gain:** +5 points
- **Highest Gain:** +17 points

**CLOSING THE LITERACY GAP at SHAWHEAD PRIMARY: Jan – March 2017**

**Shawhead Primary Pupil Progress in Reading Assessed Age against Actual Age**

- **Week 1**
- **Week 8**
- **Actual age**

**Gain:** +7 months

Elaine Callander, ASL Nithsdale Team, June 2017
CLOSING THE LITERACY GAP at NOBLEHILL PRIMARY: PHASE 1 Jan – March 2017

Noblehill: Pupil Progress in Reading by Standardised Score

- **Average Gain:** +11 points
- **Lowest Gain:** +11 points
- **Highest Gain:** +11 points

Noblehill Pupil Progress in Reading by Assessed Age against Actual Age

- **Average Gain:** +11 months
- **Lowest Gain:** +6 months
- **Highest Gain:** +11 months

Noblehill: Control Group

- **CONTROL GROUP** receiving Phonics group intervention
- **Average Gain:** +2 months
- **Lowest Gain:** +1 month
- **Highest Gain:** +7 months
CLOSING THE LITERACY GAP at LAURIEKNOWE PRIMARY: Feb – May 2017

Laurieknowe: Pupil Progress in Reading by Standardised Score

- Average Gain: + 13.4 points
- Lowest Gain: + 8 points
- Highest Gain: + 22 points

Laurieknowe: Pupil Progress by Assessed Age against Actual Age

- Average Gain: + 12.8 months
- Lowest Gain: + 4 months
- Highest Gain: + >20 months

Laurieknowe: Control Group

- Control Group receiving Phonics group intervention
- Average Gain: + 3 months
- Lowest Gain: + 7 months
- Highest Gain: + 0 months

Elaine Callander, ASL Nithsdale Team, June 2017
**CLOSING THE LITERACY GAP at ST ANDREW’S PRIMARY: Feb – May 2017**

1. **St Andrew's Pupil Progress in Reading by Standardised Score**
   - **Average Gain:** + 7.6 points
   - **Lowest Gain:** + 12 points
   - **Highest Gain:** + 3 points

2. **St Andrew's Pupil Progress in Reading by Assessed Age against Actual Age**
   - **Average Gain:** + 13 months
   - **Lowest Gain:** + 3 months
   - **Highest Gain:** + 22 months

3. **CONTROL GROUP Pupil Progress in Reading by Assessed Age against Actual Age**
   - **CONTROL GROUP** receiving Phonics group intervention
   - **Average Gain:** + 5 months
   - **Lowest Gain:** + 3 months
   - **Highest Gain:** + 7 months

Elaine Callander, ASL Nithsdale Team, June 2017
CLOSING THE LITERACY GAP at NOBLEHILL PRIMARY PHASE 2: May – June 2017

**Noblehill Pupil Progress in Reading by Standardised Score**

- **Average Gain:** +10.5 points
- **Lowest Gain:** +7 points
- **Highest Gain:** +16 points

**Noblehill Pupil Progress in Reading by Assessed Age against Actual Age**

- **Average Gain:** +10 months
- **Lowest Gain:** +6 months
- **Highest Gain:** +13 months
**Closing the Literacy Gap at Brownhall Primary: April – June 2017**

**Brownhall School Pupil Progress in Reading by Standardised Score**

- **Average Gain:** + 20 points
- **Lowest Gain:** + 11 points
- **Highest Gain:** + 28 points

**Brownhall Pupil Progress in Reading by Assessed Age against Actual Age**

- **Average Gain:** + 20 months
- **Lowest Gain:** + 7 months
- **Highest Gain:** + 23 months

Elaine Callander, ASL Nithsdale Team, June 2017
Elaine Callander, ASL Nithsdale Team, June 2017

**Lincluden School Pupil Progress in Reading by Standardised Score**

- **Sommer**
  - Week 1
  - Week 8
  - National Average

- **Daniel**
  - Week 1
  - Week 8
  - National Average

- **Lucas**
  - Week 1
  - Week 8
  - National Average

**Average Gain:** + 11 points  
**Lowest Gain:** + 8 points  
**Highest Gain:** + 16 points

---

**Lincluden School Pupil Progress in Reading by Assessed Age against Actual Age**

- **Sommer**
  - Week 1
  - Week 8
  - Actual age

- **Daniel**
  - Week 1
  - Week 8
  - Actual age

- **Lucas**
  - Week 1
  - Week 8
  - Actual age

**Average Gain:** + 10 months  
**Lowest Gain:** + 4 months  
**Highest Gain:** + 13 months
APPENDIX 2:
Standardised Assessment Data
## MEASURING OUTCOMES: Nithsdale ASL Innovation Project

### CLOSING THE LITERACY GAP

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Child</th>
<th>SIMD</th>
<th>Age (Week 8)</th>
<th>Standardised Scores</th>
<th>Percentile Rankings</th>
<th>Age Equivalent (months)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Week 1</td>
<td>Week 8</td>
<td>Difference</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ST NINIAN'S Phase 1 INCAS READING</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>6.6.5</td>
<td>87</td>
<td>102</td>
<td>+13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>6.8</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>95</td>
<td>+5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>6.9</td>
<td>95</td>
<td>101</td>
<td>+6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>6.6</td>
<td>86</td>
<td>103</td>
<td>+17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>6.4</td>
<td>76</td>
<td>89</td>
<td>+13</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| LINCLUDEN Phase 1 YORK EARLY READING |       |             |        |        |            |        |        |            |        |        |            |
| A     | 2    | 6.5         | 91     | 99     | +8        | 27     | 47     | +20       | 5.8    | 6.7    | +11        |
| B     | 1    | 6.7         | 103    | 115    | +12       | 58     | 115    | +57       | 7.2    | >7.8   | >6         |
| C     | 1    | 6.9         | 92     | 105    | +13       | 30     | 63     | +23       | 6.0    | 7.8    | +20        |
| D     | 1    | 6.4         | 90     | 105    | +15       | 25     | 63     | +38       | 5.7    | 7.8    | +13        |
| E     | 3    | 6.4         | 90     | 105    | +15       | 25     | 63     | +38       | 5.7    | 6.10   | +15        |
| F     | 3    | 6.11        | 85     | 103    | +18       | 16     | 58     | +42       | 5.7    | 7.2    | +19        |

| LINCLUDEN CONTROL GROUP YORK EARLY READING |       |             |        |        |            |        |        |            |        |        |            |
| G     | 3    | 6.5         | 99     | 100    | +1        | 47     | 50     | +3        | 6.2    | 6.10   | +8         |
| H     | 2    | 6.3         | 114    | 120    | +6        | 82     | 91     | +9        | >7.8   | >7.8   | -          |
| I     | 1    | 6.6         | 103    | 103    | 0         | 58     | 58     | 0         | 7.2    | 7.2    | 0          |
| J     | 3    | 6.8         | 78     | 82     | +4        | 7      | 12     | +3        | 5.6    | 5.7    | +1          |
| K     | 1    | 6.8         | 83     | 80     | -3        | 13     | 9      | -4        | 5.7    | 5.6    | -1         |
| L     | 1    | 6.10        | 83     | 75     | -8        | 13     | 5      | -8        | 5.7    | 5.7    | 0          |

| St NINIAN'S Phase 2 YORK EARLY READING |       |             |        |        |            |        |        |            |        |        |            |
| A     | 1    | 6.2         | 89     | 105    | +16       | 23     |        |           | 5.7    | 6.10   | +15        |
| B     | 4    | 6.7         | 86     | 102    | +16       | 18     |        |           | 5.6    | 6.5    | +11        |
| C     | 1    | 6.6         | 89     | 94     | +5        | 23     |        |           | 5.7    | 6.2    | +7          |
| D     | 4    | 6.8         | 94     | 121    | +27       |        |        |           | 6.00   | >7.06  | >+18        |
| E     | 1    | 6.7         | 94     | 104    | +10       |        |        |           | 5.9    | 6.7    | +10        |

Elaine Callander, ASL Nithsdale Team, June 2017
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Child</th>
<th>SIMD</th>
<th>Age (Week 8)</th>
<th>Standardised Scores</th>
<th>Percentile Rankings</th>
<th>Age Equivalent (months)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Week 1</td>
<td>Week 8</td>
<td>Difference</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Noble Hill</td>
<td>A</td>
<td>5</td>
<td></td>
<td>6.3</td>
<td>95</td>
<td>107</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>B</td>
<td>8</td>
<td></td>
<td>6.11</td>
<td>86</td>
<td>87</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>C</td>
<td>8</td>
<td></td>
<td>6.8</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>81</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>D</td>
<td>7</td>
<td></td>
<td>6.9</td>
<td>74</td>
<td>81</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>E</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>7.0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Noble Hill</td>
<td>A</td>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
<td>6.4</td>
<td>86</td>
<td>92</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>B</td>
<td>4</td>
<td></td>
<td>6.7</td>
<td>102</td>
<td>105</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>C</td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
<td>7.2</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>102</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>St Andrew's</td>
<td>D</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td>6.0</td>
<td>85</td>
<td>98</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>E</td>
<td>6</td>
<td></td>
<td>6.3</td>
<td>85</td>
<td>87</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shawhead</td>
<td>A</td>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
<td>7.0</td>
<td>83</td>
<td>89</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
## MEASURING OUTCOMES: Nithsdale ASL Innovation Project  
CLOSING THE LITERACY GAP

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Child</th>
<th>SIMD</th>
<th>Age (Week 8)</th>
<th>Standardised Scores</th>
<th>Percentile Rankings</th>
<th>Age Equivalent ( months)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Week 1</td>
<td>Week 8</td>
<td>Difference</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>6.6</td>
<td>97</td>
<td>105</td>
<td>+8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>6.7</td>
<td>82</td>
<td>91</td>
<td>+9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>5.11</td>
<td>86</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>+14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>6.4</td>
<td>86</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>+14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>6.10</td>
<td>92</td>
<td>114</td>
<td>+22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>6.5</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>88</td>
<td>-2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>6.4</td>
<td>83</td>
<td>94</td>
<td>+11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>6.9</td>
<td>92</td>
<td>92</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>7.1</td>
<td>85</td>
<td>79</td>
<td>-6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### LAURIEKNOWE YORK EARLY READING

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Child</th>
<th>SIMD</th>
<th>Age (Week 8)</th>
<th>Standardised Scores</th>
<th>Percentile Rankings</th>
<th>Age Equivalent ( months)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td>FME</td>
<td>6.6</td>
<td>89</td>
<td>109</td>
<td>+20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B</td>
<td>FME</td>
<td>7.1</td>
<td>&lt;70</td>
<td>81</td>
<td>&gt;+11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C</td>
<td>FME</td>
<td>6.5</td>
<td>94</td>
<td>114</td>
<td>+20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D</td>
<td>FME</td>
<td>6.5</td>
<td>82</td>
<td>110</td>
<td>+28</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### BROWN HALL YORK EARLY READING

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Child</th>
<th>SIMD</th>
<th>Age (Week 8)</th>
<th>Standardised Scores</th>
<th>Percentile Rankings</th>
<th>Age Equivalent ( months)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>6.11</td>
<td>87</td>
<td>94</td>
<td>+7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>6.8</td>
<td>81</td>
<td>97</td>
<td>+16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>6.9</td>
<td>81</td>
<td>92</td>
<td>+11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>6.0</td>
<td>91</td>
<td>99</td>
<td>+8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### NOBLEHILL YORK EARLY READING
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## Measuring Outcomes: Nithsdale ASL Innovation Project

### Closing the Literacy Gap

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Child</th>
<th>SIMD</th>
<th>Age (Week 8)</th>
<th>Standardised Scores</th>
<th>Percentile Rankings</th>
<th>Age Equivalent (months)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Week 1</td>
<td>Week 8</td>
<td>Difference</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Collin</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td>LAC</td>
<td>8.7</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>71</td>
<td>+16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B</td>
<td>LAC</td>
<td>5.4</td>
<td>82</td>
<td>107</td>
<td>+25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Lincluden</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>York Early Reading</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>7.2</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>91</td>
<td>+16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>7.1</td>
<td>83</td>
<td>94</td>
<td>+11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>6.6</td>
<td>92</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>+8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

39 pupils

513/39 average 13.15

533/39 Average 13.66

Elaine Callander, ASL Nithsdale Team, June 2017